

INVITATION TO TENDER No. 24-044

Nile Creek Trail Design-Build

Addendum 2 Issued: August 2, 2024

Closing Date & Time: on or before 3:00 PM Pacific Time on August 8, 2024

This addendum shall be read in conjunction with and considered as an integral part of the Request for Proposal. Revisions supersede the information contained in the original Request for Proposal or previously issued Addendum. No consideration will be allowed for any extras due to any Proponent not being familiar with the contents of this Addendum. All other terms and conditions remain the same.

Questions and Answers

- Q1) RFP page 3, Design Services, Bullet 2 states "Contractor is responsible for flagging MoTI right-of-way". Does the RDN require a legal lot boundary survey performed by a qualified Land Surveyor for the entire extent of the development as part of the design services? If not, please elaborate on what you are looking for here.
- A1) The contractor is responsible for ensuring the new trail is located within the MoTI right-of-way. Please include a legal lot boundary survey for the project area in the fee proposal, Design Services, Item No. A2.
- Q2) Has a geotechnical assessment been done? Is one necessary for the design component?
- A2) Geotechnical testing was completed at the Nile Creek bridge abutment locations, but not for the rest of the project area. If additional geotechnical testing is required, it can be discussed and priced with the successful proponent.
- Q3) Is trail rehab on the adjacent trail part of this project?
- A3) Most of the existing trails are outside of the MoTI right-of-way and are to be left as is. If portions of the existing trail within the MoTI right-of-way are included in the proposed trail alignment, they should meet or exceed the RDN Type 3 Trail Standard.

Q4) Does the RDN have staging areas in mind for this project? If so, can you please identify them? Are we able to access the site from the powerline right-of-way with machinery? A4) See Addendum 1, question 9. Q5) We have built a number of these timber staircases, and we were wondering if you would accept alternatives for the superstructure/footing design? A5) The typical details included in the RFP Appendix 2 are intended to help proponents provide comparable unit pricing. Any alternatives to the typical details may be discussed with the successful proponent. Q6) Are there specific drainage structures the RDN would like us to incorporate in the trail build or can we spec those from past experience? A6) Proponents can propose drainage structures from past experience. Q7) Our engineer has requested some additional time to work on the estimate for the staircase design as the slope and drainage has some challenges. Could we get an extension on the closing date? A7) See Addendum 1, question 10. Q8) Regarding the slope retention, we noted that constructing the slope retention is not a line item in the cost estimate, however, it is included under the design costs. Since we don't know the extent of slope retention required, it's difficult to provide design and engineering fees. Should the slope retention be left out of the cost estimate all together (design & construction) and priced at a later date? A8) The RDN prefers to align the trail on a route that avoids the need for slope retention structures. If retention structures are needed, the additional costs will be discussed with the successful proponent. Q9) Can you clarify what type of wood you'd like to use for the built features? We noted that pressure treated wood is specified for the crib stairs. As this is in a natural area, would you like to use cedar or is there a specific kind of pressure treated wood you'd like to use? The boardwalk calls for cedar which makes sense so close to the stream. The stringer stairs do not specify wood type, please clarify.

A9) Timber grades and species are to be as follows, but alternate materials can be discussed

with the successful proponent.

Item	Finish	Species	Grade
Boardwalk	Rough	Yellow cedar	Group A, No. 2 or better
Crib steps	Rough	Yellow cedar	Group A, No. 2 or better
Stringer stair caps and rails	S4S	Yellow cedar	Group A, No. 1 or better
Stringer stair posts	Rough	Yellow cedar	Group A, No. 1 or better
Stringer stair steps	Rough	Yellow cedar	Group A, No. 2 or better

Q10) Are there permitting requirements for working within the SPEA or has the RDN already taken care of that? Do any requirements for working within the SPEA knock onto costing or schedule?

A10) The RDN will coordinate permitting related to the riparian area. Include pricing for protection of wildlife trees in Construction Services Item No. B4, and erosion and sediment control measures in Item No. B5.

End of Addendum 2