



REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS No. 24-016

Morden Colliery Bridges Engineering Services Addendum 2 Issued: March 12, 2024

Closing Date & Time: on or before 3:00 PM Pacific Time on March 27th, 2024

This addendum shall be read in conjunction with and considered as an integral part of the Request for Tender. Revisions supersede the information contained in the original Tender or previously issued Addendum. No consideration will be allowed for any extras due to any Vendor not being familiar with the contents of this Addendum. All other terms and conditions remain the same.

Request for Proposals Addendum:

Item 1

Delete: Closing Date & Time on or before 3pm Pacific Time March 22, 2024

Add: Closing Date & Time on or before 3pm Pacific Time **March 27, 2024**

Item 2

Delete: Phase 4 Construction Administration and Field Services from the base scope of services throughout the Request for Proposals document.

Add: Phase 4 Construction Administration and Field Services are to be included as a provisional item throughout the Request for Proposals document at the RDN's sole discretion to accept or not. Proponents are to include explanation on extent of scope containing assumed construction duration and number of site visits. A review and change order to add this phase of work will take place at the end of the design phase to ensure sufficient scope and funds have been allocated. Fees will be evaluated on the base scope of services including the provisional item.

Item 3

Add: Please submit proposals to the following RDN staff:
Carolyn Kennedy: ckennedy@rdn.bc.ca and/or
Amy Gore: agore@rdn.bc.ca

Questions & Answers:

Question 1. Has RDN made considerations for an archaeological review or field assessment such as a Preliminary Field Review or Archaeological Impact Assessment? Or is this scope that RDN would like proponents to include in the scope of work under this RFP?

Answer 1. The RDN will complete recommended archaeological studies independently from the services outlined in this RFP. Archeological work is not part of the scope of this RFP.

Question 2. Has RDN had any preliminary discussion with environmental regulators or agencies as part of the recent feasibility study or during previous phases of this project?

Answer 2. No preliminary environmental discussions have taken place.

Question 3. The Herold Engineering Feasibility report has inconsistencies by noting three different spans for the Nanaimo River by noting three different spans for the Nanaimo River crossings as follows: page 4 notes an anticipation for a 110m span for the west channel and a 100m span for the east channel; then the cost estimate on page 7 and Appendix A refers to a 110m span and a 90m span; and the drawings that were included as Appendix C show a 90m span and an 84m span. Could the RDN please confirm what span is anticipated as the starting point for the next phase of this project?

Answer 3. Please use 110m and 100m spans as the starting point.

Question 4. Will seismic design be required to follow that for “other” bridges under the 2019 CHBDC, and if it is, Does the RDN expect a minimum scope of geotechnical investigation for each bridge? For example, does RDN expect that a minimum investigation would include at least one test hole per bridge location?

Answer 4. Seismic design will follow that for “other” bridges under the 2019 CHBDC. The proponent is to determine the extent of geotechnical investigations needed to meet the requirements of the code for “other” bridges.

Question 5. Do bidders need to provide resumes for all engineering disciplines and subconsultants in addition to short biographies within the body of the proposal for each proposed team member?

Answer 5. Provide resume and short biography for all team members who will have a primary role or responsibility on the project.

Question 6. Can we submit the Fees and Schedule on 11”x17” paper size and would it count as 1 page?

Answer 6. Yes.

Question 7. Is it a requirement for the Nanaimo River Crossing piers not to be in the water?

Answer 7. Preference is for clear span bridges with no intermediate pier supports.

Question 8. Can we get the environmental report for the Nanaimo River Crossing?

Answer 8. Environmental reports are to be included in the scope.

Question 9. Could the Phase 4 Construction Administration and Field Services be excluded from the RFP?

Answer 9. Phase 4 Construction Administration and Field Services are to be included as a provisional item. Proponents are to include explanation on extent of scope containing assumed construction duration and number of site visits. A review and

change order to add this phase of work will take place at the end of the design phase to ensure sufficient scope and funds have been allocated.

Question 10. Would it be possible to extend the deadline?

Answer 10. The deadline for submission has been extended to March 27, 2024. Please submit proposals to the following RDN staff:

Carolyn Kennedy: ckennedy@rdn.bc.ca and/or

Amy Gore: agore@rdn.bc.ca

End of Addendum 2